

---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
(Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant   
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement  
 **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**  
 Definitive Proxy Statement  
 Definitive Additional Materials  
 Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

**Lamar Advertising Company**

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.  
 Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

---

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

---

(3) Filing Party:

---

(4) Date Filed:

---

---

---

**LAMAR ADVERTISING COMPANY**

**5321 Corporate Boulevard  
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808  
(225) 926-1000**

**NOTICE OF 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS  
TO BE HELD ON MAY 21, 2014**

To our Stockholders:

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Lamar Advertising Company, a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), will be held at the offices of Lamar Advertising Company, 5321 Corporate Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, at 9:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on Wednesday, May 21, 2014, for the following purposes:

1. To elect seven directors, each for a one-year term.
2. To approve, on an advisory and non-binding basis, the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers.
3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year.
4. To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 24, 2014, will be entitled to vote at the meeting.

**It is important that your shares be represented at the meeting. Therefore, whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please complete your proxy and return it in the enclosed envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States. If you attend the meeting and wish to vote in person, your proxy will not be used.**

By order of the Board of Directors,  
James R. McIlwain  
*Secretary*

Baton Rouge, Louisiana  
April 25, 2014

---

**PROXY STATEMENT  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

	<u>Page</u>
<a href="#">GENERAL INFORMATION</a>	1
<a href="#">SHARE OWNERSHIP</a>	3
<a href="#">SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE</a>	7
<a href="#">EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT</a>	7
<a href="#">PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</a>	8
<a href="#">BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES</a>	12
<a href="#">CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS</a>	14
<a href="#">EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</a>	16
<a href="#">EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION</a>	29
<a href="#">AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</a>	30
<a href="#">PROPOSAL NO. 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</a>	31
<a href="#">PROPOSAL NO. 3: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</a>	32
<a href="#">ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</a>	34

**LAMAR ADVERTISING COMPANY**  
**PROXY STATEMENT**  
**FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**  
**TO BE HELD ON MAY 21, 2014**

**GENERAL INFORMATION**

This proxy statement is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Lamar Advertising Company for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held at the offices of Lamar Advertising Company, 5321 Corporate Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, at 9:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on Wednesday, May 21, 2014, and at any adjournments of the Annual Meeting.

We are mailing this proxy statement, along with the accompanying proxy card and our annual report to stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, to our stockholders on or about April 25, 2014. Our annual report to stockholders includes a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on February 27, 2014, except for certain exhibits.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials  
for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 21, 2014**

The proxy statement and annual report to security holders are available at [www.proxydocs.com/lamr](http://www.proxydocs.com/lamr).

**Record Date, Voting Rights and Outstanding Shares**

The Board of Directors has fixed March 24, 2014, as the record date for determining the holders of our capital stock who are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

We have two classes of common stock and one class of preferred stock issued and outstanding: Class A Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share, Class B Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share, and Series AA Preferred Stock, \$.001 par value per share. We refer to our Class A Common Stock and our Class B Common Stock collectively as our common stock.

With respect to the matters submitted for vote at the Annual Meeting, each share of Class A Common Stock is entitled to one vote, each share of Class B Common Stock is entitled to ten votes, and each share of Series AA Preferred Stock is entitled to one vote.

Our Class A Common Stock, Class B Common Stock and Series AA Preferred Stock will vote as a single class on the matters submitted at the Annual Meeting. On March 24, 2014, there were outstanding and entitled to vote 80,461,870 shares of Class A Common Stock, 14,610,365 shares of Class B Common Stock, and 5,719.49 shares of Series AA Preferred Stock.

The presence at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of one-third of the votes represented by the Class A Common Stock, the Class B Common Stock, and the Series AA Preferred Stock issued and outstanding at the close of business on March 24, 2014, will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. If you are a beneficial owner whose shares are held of record by a broker, you must instruct the broker how to vote your shares. If you do not provide voting instructions, your shares will not be voted on any proposal on which the broker does not have discretionary authority to vote. This is called a "broker non-vote." We will count broker non-votes, votes withheld, and abstentions as being present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists.

## [Table of Contents](#)

Stockholders who do not attend the Annual Meeting in person may submit proxy cards by mail. Proxy cards in the enclosed form, if received in time for voting and not revoked, will be voted at the Annual Meeting according to the instructions on the proxy cards. If no instructions are indicated, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted:

- **FOR** the election of the Director nominees named herein;
- **FOR** the approval, on an advisory and non-binding basis, of the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers;
- **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year; and
- In accordance with the judgment of the proxy holders as to any other matter that may be properly brought before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments of the Annual Meeting.

Shares counted as present at the Annual Meeting that abstain from voting on a particular matter or that are represented by a broker non-vote as to a particular matter will not be considered as votes cast on that matter. Accordingly, abstentions and broker non-votes will not affect the outcome of any matter to be voted on at the Annual Meeting that requires the affirmative vote of a certain percentage or a plurality of the votes cast on a matter to approve it.

### **Voting of Proxies**

You may vote by mail or in person at the Annual Meeting. To vote by mail, please sign, date, and complete the enclosed proxy card and return it in the enclosed self-addressed envelope. If you hold your shares through a bank, broker or other nominee, it will give you separate instructions for voting your shares.

### **Revocability of Proxies**

Any stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time before it is exercised. You may revoke the proxy by filing an instrument of revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date with our Secretary at our principal executive offices, 5321 Corporate Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808. You may also revoke your proxy by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. If you do not revoke your proxy, we will vote the proxy at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the instructions indicated on your proxy card.

### **Householding of Annual Meeting Materials**

Some banks, brokers, and other nominee record holders may be "householding" our proxy statements and annual reports. This means that only one copy of our proxy statement and annual report to stockholders may have been sent to multiple stockholders in your household. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of either document to you upon request. Requests may be made by phone at (225) 926-1000 or in writing to our principal executive offices at 5321 Corporate Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808, Attention: Secretary. If you want to receive separate copies of the proxy statement or annual report to stockholders in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household, you should contact your bank, broker or other nominee record holder, or you may contact us at the above address and telephone number.

**SHARE OWNERSHIP****Common Stock**

The following table sets forth certain information known to us as of April 1, 2014, with respect to the shares of our Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock that are beneficially owned as of that date by: (i) each of our directors and each of our nominees for director; (ii) each of our executive officers named in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table contained in this proxy statement; (iii) all of our directors and executive officers as a group; and (iv) each person known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock. Our Class B Common Stock is convertible into Class A Common Stock on a one-for-one basis. Except as otherwise indicated, we believe each beneficial owner named below has sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned by that holder. Percentage calculations of beneficial ownership are based on 80,529,512 shares of Class A Common Stock and 14,610,365 shares of Class B Common Stock outstanding on April 1, 2014.

<u>Beneficial Owner</u>	<u>Title of Class</u>	<u>No. of Shares Owned</u>	<u>Percent of Class</u>
<b>Directors, Nominees for Director and Executive Officers</b>			
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.	Class A	395,527(1)	*
	Class B(2)	10,984,776(3)	75.18%(4)
Sean E. Reilly	Class A	191,236(5)	*
	Class B(2)	10,557,835(3)(6)	72.16%(7)
Anna Reilly	Class A	145,221(8)	*
	Class B(2)	10,190,280(3)(9)	69.75%(10)
Wendell Reilly	Class A	20,231(11)	*
	Class B(2)	9,500,000(3)(12)	65.02%(13)
Keith A. Istre	Class A	193,363(14)	*
Stephen P. Mumblow	Class A	36,776(15)	*
John Maxwell Hamilton	Class A	35,284(16)	*
Thomas V. Reifenheiser	Class A	37,754(17)	*
John E. Koerner, III	Class A	22,518(18)	*
All Current Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (9 Persons)	Class A & B	15,688,275(19)	16.39%(20)
<b>Five Percent Stockholders</b>			
The Reilly Family Limited Partnership Corvex Management LP 712 Fifth Avenue 23rd Floor New York, NY 10019	Class B(2)	9,000,000	61.60%(21)
The Vanguard Group 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	Class A	5,201,465(22)	6.46%
Luxor Capital Group, LP 1114 Avenue of the Americas 29th Floor New York, NY 10036	Class A	4,603,470(23)	5.72%
	Class A	4,073,817(24)	5.06%

## Table of Contents

<u>Beneficial Owner</u>	<u>Title of Class</u>	<u>No. of Shares Owned</u>	<u>Percent of Class</u>
Bank of America Corporation Bank of America Corporate Center 100 North Tryon Street Charlotte, NC 28255	Class A	4,533,324 <sup>(25)</sup>	5.63%
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	Class A	4,304,964 <sup>(26)</sup>	5.35%
S.A.C. Capital Advisors L.P. 72 Cummings Point Road, Stamford, CT 06902	Class A	4,192,758 <sup>(27)</sup>	5.21%

\* Less than 1%.

- (1) Includes 222,222 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014.
- (2) Upon the sale of any shares of Class B Common Stock to a person other than to a Permitted Transferee, such shares will automatically convert into shares of Class A Common Stock. Permitted Transferees include (i) a descendant of Kevin P. Reilly, Sr.; (ii) a spouse or surviving spouse (even if remarried) of any individual named or described in (i) above; (iii) any estate, trust, guardianship, custodianship, curatorship or other fiduciary arrangement for the primary benefit of any one or more of the individuals named or described in (i) and (ii) above; and (iv) any corporation, partnership, limited liability company or other business organization controlled by and substantially all of the interests in which are owned, directly or indirectly, by any one or more of the individuals and entities named or described in (i), (ii), and (iii) above. Except for voting rights, the Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock are substantially identical. The holders of Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock vote together as a single class (except as may otherwise be required by Delaware law), with the holders of Class A Common Stock entitled to one vote per share and the holders of Class B Common Stock entitled to ten votes per share on all matters on which the holders of common stock are entitled to vote.
- (3) Includes 9,000,000 shares held by the Reilly Family Limited Partnership (the "RFLP"), of which Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. is the managing general partner. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.'s three siblings, Anna Reilly (a nominee for director), Sean E. Reilly (our Chief Executive Officer) and Wendell Reilly (a nominee for director) are the other general partners of the RFLP. The managing general partner has sole voting power over the shares held by the RFLP but dispositions of the shares require the approval of 50% of the general partnership interests of the RFLP. Anna Reilly, Sean E. Reilly, and Wendell Reilly disclaim beneficial ownership in the shares held by the RFLP, except to the extent of their pecuniary interest therein.
- (4) Represents 11.55% of the Class A Common Stock if all shares of Class B Common Stock are converted into Class A Common Stock.
- (5) Includes 156,444 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014.
- (6) Includes 757,375 shares held by Jennifer and Sean Reilly, LLC.
- (7) Represents 11.10% of the Class A Common Stock if all shares of Class B Common Stock are converted into Class A Common Stock.
- (8) Includes 131,221 shares owned jointly by Anna Reilly and her spouse and 14,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014. Ms. Reilly currently has 125,000 shares held in a brokerage margin account. There are currently no outstanding margin loans in this account.
- (9) Includes 1,190,280 shares owned jointly by Ms. Reilly and her spouse.
- (10) Represents 10.71% of the Class A Common Stock if all shares of Class B Common Stock are converted into Class A Common Stock.
- (11) Includes 5,000 shares held by his spouse, 10 shares attributable to his spouse as general partner of Lamar Legacy, L.P., 908 shares pledged as collateral for a loan and 14,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014.
- (12) Includes 500,000 shares pledged as collateral for a loan.
- (13) Represents 9.99% of the Class A Common Stock if all shares of Class B Common Stock are converted into Class A Common Stock.



## Table of Contents

- (14) Includes 102,396 shares of Class A Common Stock subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014.
- (15) Includes 9,500 shares of Class A Common Stock subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014, and 26,701 shares held in a brokerage margin account. The margin balance outstanding, if any, pursuant to such account may vary from time to time.
- (16) Includes 22,000 shares of Class A Common Stock subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014.
- (17) Includes 17,200 shares of Class A Common Stock subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014.
- (18) Includes 14,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days of April 1, 2014.
- (19) See Notes 1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 14-18.
- (20) Assumes the conversion of all shares of Class B Common Stock into shares of Class A Common Stock.
- (21) Represents 9.46% of the Class A Common Stock if all shares of Class B Common Stock are converted into Class A Common Stock.
- (22) As reported in the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2013, Corvex Management LP (“Corvex”) has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 5,201,465 shares (including shares underlying call options) held for the accounts of certain private investment funds for which Corvex acts as investment advisor. Keith Meister, in his capacity as the control person of the general partner of Corvex, is the natural person who exercises sole voting and dispositive power over Lamar Advertising’s securities held by Corvex. The address of Mr. Meister is 712 Fifth Avenue, 23rd Floor, New York, New York 10019.
- (23) As reported in the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2013, The Vanguard Group (“Vanguard”) has sole voting power with respect to 44,599 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 4,565,071 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 38,399. Includes 38,399 shares beneficially owned by Vanguard’s wholly-owned subsidiary Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (“VFTC”) as a result of VFTC’s serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts and 6,200 shares beneficially owned by Vanguard’s wholly-owned subsidiary Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. (“VIA”) as a result of VIA’s serving as investment manager of Australian investment offerings.
- (24) As reported in the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on March 20, 2014, Luxor Capital Partners, LP (“Luxor Onshore”) has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,384,283 shares, Luxor Wavefront, LP (“Luxor Wavefront”) has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 400,973 shares, Luxor Capital Partners Offshore Master Fund, LP (“Offshore Master”) and Luxor Capital Partners Offshore, Ltd. (“Luxor Offshore Feeder”) each have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 1,985,068 shares, Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master Fund, LP (“Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master”) and Luxor Spectrum Offshore, Ltd. (“Luxor Spectrum Offshore Feeder”) each have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 143,065 shares, LCG Holdings, LLC (“LCG Holdings”) has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,913,389 shares, Luxor Capital Group, LP (“Luxor Capital”), Luxor Management, LLC (“Luxor Management”) and Christian Leone each have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 4,073,817 shares. As indicated in the Schedule 13G, (i) shares reported for Luxor Onshore, Luxor Wavefront, Luxor Offshore Master and Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master represent shares individually beneficially owned by each such entity; (ii) shares reported for Luxor Offshore Feeder, as the owner of a controlling interest in Luxor Offshore Master, represent shares beneficially owned by Luxor Offshore Master; (iii) shares reported for Luxor Spectrum Offshore Feeder, as the owner of a controlling interest in Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master, represent shares beneficially owned by Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master; (iv) shares reported for LCG Holdings represent the above-referenced shares beneficially owned by Luxor Onshore, Luxor Wavefront, Luxor Offshore Master and Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master for which LCG Holdings serves as general partner; (v) shares reported for Luxor Capital and Luxor Management represent the above-referenced shares beneficially owned by Luxor Onshore, Luxor Wavefront, Luxor Offshore Master, Luxor Offshore Feeder, Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master and Luxor Spectrum Offshore Feeder for which Luxor Capital serves as investment manager and 160,428 shares beneficially owned by accounts separately managed by Luxor Capital; (vi) Luxor Management is the general partner of Luxor Capital; (vii) shares reported for Mr. Leone represent the above-referenced shares reported for Luxor Management and LCG Holdings; and (viii) Mr. Leone is the managing member of Luxor Management and LCG Holdings. Mr. Leone is the natural person who exercises shared voting and dispositive powers over Lamar Advertising’s securities held by himself, for Luxor Onshore, Luxor Wavefront, Luxor Offshore Master, Luxor Offshore Feeder, Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master, Luxor Spectrum Offshore Feeder, LCG Holdings, Luxor Capital and Luxor Management. The address of each of Luxor Onshore, Luxor Wavefront, Luxor Capital, Luxor Management, LCG Holdings and Mr. Leone is 1114 Avenue of the Americas, 29th Floor, New York, NY 10036. The address of each of Luxor Offshore Master, Luxor Offshore Feeder, Luxor Spectrum Offshore Master and Luxor

## Table of Contents

Spectrum Offshore Feeder is c/o Maples Corporate Services Limited, P.O. Box 309, Ugland House, Grand Cayman, KY1-1104 Cayman Islands.

- (25) As reported in the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 19, 2014, Bank of America Corporation reported on behalf of itself and its wholly owned subsidiaries Bank of America N.A., Merrill Lynch Professional Clearing Corporation, and Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith, Inc. that it has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 4,532,474 shares.
- (26) As reported in the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2013, BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power with respect to 3,765,654 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 4,304,964 shares.
- (27) As reported in the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on June 6, 2013, Steven A. Cohen has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 4,192,758 shares, including (i) 3,567,758 shares beneficially owned by S.A.C. Capital Associates, LLC (“SAC Capital Associates”) and S.A.C. MultiQuant Fund, L.P. (“SAC MultiQuant Fund”) for which S.A.C. Capital Advisors, L.P. (“SAC Capital Advisors LP”) maintains shared voting and dispositive control pursuant to an investment management agreement, (ii) 3,567,758 shares for which S.A.C. Capital Advisors, Inc. (“SAC Capital Advisors Inc.”), the general partner of SAC Capital Advisors LP, maintains shared voting and dispositive control with respect to shares beneficially owned by SAC Capital Advisors LP, SAC Capital Associates and SAC MultiQuant Fund, and (iii) 625,000 shares beneficially owned by CR Intrinsic Investments, LLC (“CR Intrinsic Investments”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of SAC Capital Associates, for which CR Intrinsic Investors, LLC (“CR Intrinsic Investors”) maintains shared voting and dispositive control. Mr. Cohen is the controlling person of each of SAC Capital Advisors Inc. and CR Intrinsic Investors.

## **Preferred Stock**

The Company also has outstanding 5,719.49 shares of Series AA Preferred Stock. Holders of Series AA Preferred Stock are entitled to one vote per share. The Series AA Preferred Stock is held as follows: 3,134.8 shares (54.8%) by the RFLP, of which Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. is the managing general partner and Anna Reilly, Sean E. Reilly, and Wendell Reilly are the general partners; 1,500 shares (26.2%) by Charles W. Lamar III; and 1,084.69 shares (19.0%) by Mary Lee Lamar Dixon. The aggregate outstanding Series AA Preferred Stock represents less than 1% of the capital stock of the Company.

## SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Our directors, our executive officers and anyone owning beneficially more than ten percent of our registered equity securities are required under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to file with the SEC reports of their ownership and changes to their ownership of our securities. They must also furnish copies of the reports to us. Based solely on our review of the reports furnished to us and any written representations we received that no other reports were required, we believe that, during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, our officers, directors and ten-percent stockholders complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them.

### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Title</u>
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.	59	Chairman of the Board and President
Sean E. Reilly	52	Chief Executive Officer
Keith A. Istre	61	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Each officer's term of office extends until the meeting of the Board of Directors following the next annual meeting of stockholders and until a successor is elected and qualified or until his earlier resignation or removal.

Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. has served as our President since February 1989 and as one of our directors since February 1984. Mr. Reilly also served as our Chief Executive Officer from February 1989 until February 2011. Prior to becoming President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Reilly served as the President of our Outdoor Division from 1984 to 1989. Mr. Reilly, our employee since 1978, has also served as General Manager of our Baton Rouge Region and Vice President and General Manager of the Louisiana Region. Mr. Reilly received a B.A. from Harvard University in 1977.

Sean E. Reilly has served as our Chief Executive Officer since February 2011. Prior to becoming Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Reilly had been Chief Operating Officer and President of the Company's Outdoor Division, a position that he had held since November 2001. He began working with the Company as Vice President of Mergers and Acquisitions in 1987 and served in that capacity until 1994. He also served as a director of the Company from 1989 to 1996 and from 1999 until 2003. Mr. Reilly was the Chief Executive Officer of Wireless One, Inc., a wireless cable television company, from 1994 to 1997, after which he rejoined the Company. Mr. Reilly received a B.A. from Harvard University in 1984 and a J.D. from Harvard Law School in 1989.

Keith A. Istre has been Chief Financial Officer of the Company since February 1989. Mr. Istre joined the Company as Controller in 1978 and became Treasurer in 1985. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Istre was employed by a public accounting firm in Baton Rouge from 1975 to 1978. Mr. Istre graduated from the University of Southwestern Louisiana in 1974 with a degree in Accounting.

**PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Board of Directors has fixed the number of directors at seven for the coming year. The Board of Directors, upon recommendation from the Nominating and Governance Committee, has nominated the individuals listed below for election as directors at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 21, 2014, to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors are elected and qualified. Each nominee has consented to being named a nominee in this proxy statement and to serve, if elected, as a director. If any nominee is unable to serve, proxies will be voted for such other candidates as may be nominated by the Board of Directors.

**Required Vote**

Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote on this proposal at the meeting. Abstentions, broker non-votes, and votes withheld will not be treated as votes cast for this purpose and will not affect the outcome of the election.

**The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the election of each of the nominees listed below.**

**Nominees for Director**

The following table contains certain information about the nominees for director as of April 1, 2014, including their business experience, qualifications and other directorships. All of the directors' present terms expire in 2014.

<u>Name and Age</u>	<u>Business Experience During Past Five Years, Other Directorships and Qualifications</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. Age: 59	Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. has served as our President since February 1989 and as one of our directors since February 1984. Mr. Reilly also served as our Chief Executive Officer from February 1989 until February 2011. Prior to becoming President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Reilly served as the President of our Outdoor Division from 1984 to 1989. Mr. Reilly, our employee since 1978, has also served as General Manager of our Baton Rouge Region and Vice President and General Manager of the Louisiana Region.  Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., with over 30 years of experience at Lamar and 25 years as our President, has unparalleled knowledge of our business and operating history. As our President, Mr. Reilly is directly involved with the management of the company on a daily basis and has front-line exposure to the challenges that we face and opportunities that we are presented. He is also the managing general partner of our controlling stockholder, the Reilly Family Limited Partnership. The RFLP and members of the Reilly family are permitted holders of our Class B Common Stock, which was put in place in connection with our initial public offering in 1996 to provide for continuity of control over the company and entitles its holders to ten votes per share. Board representation by members of the Reilly family, which has ties to the Lamar family dating back to 1958, also serves to preserve the principles that Lamar was founded upon.	1984

[Table of Contents](#)

<u>Name and Age</u>	<u>Business Experience During Past Five Years, Other Directorships and Qualifications</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Anna Reilly Age: 50	<p>Anna Reilly serves on the Board of Directors of the Bethesda Center for the Homeless, the Asset Development Committee of the Winston Salem Foundation, as well as on the Board of Visitors for Duke University's Sanford School of Public Policy. From 1995 until 2000, Ms. Reilly owned and operated Lula's Cafe, a restaurant in South Bend, Indiana, and she served on the Board of Directors of St. Joseph Capital Bank, a public company that is now part of Old Nations Bank, from 2001 to 2006. While in Indiana she also served as a trustee of the Stanley Clark School and as a Director of the Community Foundation of St. Joseph County. Prior to living and raising her family in Indiana, Ms. Reilly worked for the Corporation for National Service and the Ashoka Foundation in Washington, D.C.</p> <p>Anna Reilly is a general partner of our controlling stockholder, the RFLP, and brings knowledge of our business and operations to the Board. The RFLP and members of the Reilly family are permitted holders of our Class B Common Stock, which was put in place in connection with our initial public offering in 1996 to provide for continuity of control over the company and entitles its holders to ten votes per share. In addition, board representation by members of the Reilly family, which has ties to the Lamar family dating back to 1958, also serves to preserve the principles that Lamar was founded upon. Ms. Reilly's background and continued commitment to civic service also provide us with a valuable perspective into local issues, which is important to us due to our focus on local advertising.</p>	2001
Wendell Reilly Age: 56	<p>Wendell Reilly has been the Managing Partner of Grapevine Partners LLC since 2000, and in 2009, he joined Peachtree Equity Partners II as a General Partner. Mr. Reilly currently serves as Chairman of Berman Capital Advisors and on the board of Brown and Brown, Inc. and on the investment committee of the Community Foundation for Greater Atlanta. He also serves as a Trustee of Emory University and The Carter Center in Atlanta. He previously served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer from 1985 to 1989 and director from 1999 to 2001. Mr. Reilly also served as CFO of Haas Publishing Companies from 1989 to 1994, CEO of Grapevine Communications, a group of 7 network-affiliated TV stations, from 1996 to 2000 and CEO of SignPost Networks from 2003 to 2010.</p> <p>Wendell Reilly, with over 25 years of private equity, entrepreneurial and executive management experience in media and communications, has extensive expertise of our industry from both inside and outside Lamar. He also brings valuable insight into the issues facing our management through his experience as a founder and principal in other media companies. Mr. Reilly is also a general partner of our controlling stockholder, the Reilly Family Limited Partnership. The RFLP and members of the Reilly family are permitted holders of our Class B Common Stock, which was put in place in connection with our initial public offering in 1996 to provide continuity of control over the company and entitles its holders to ten votes per share. In addition, board representation by members of the Reilly family, which has ties to the Lamar family dating back to 1958, also serves to preserve the principles that Lamar was founded upon.</p>	2005

## Table of Contents

<u>Name and Age</u>	<u>Business Experience During Past Five Years, Other Directorships and Qualifications</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Stephen P. Mumblow Age: 58	<p>Stephen P. Mumblow is the President and Owner of Manhan Media, Inc., Deerfield Media, Inc. and of the Deerfield Media group of companies, which own and operate television stations in eight mid-size U.S. television markets. Until January 2002, Mr. Mumblow was the President and a Director of Communications Corporation of America, a television and radio broadcasting company, having joined that company in 1998. Mr. Mumblow was a Managing Director of Chase Securities, Inc., an investment banking firm, from March 1988 to August 1998. Prior to that, he was a Vice President of Michigan Energy Resources Company, an intrastate natural gas utility company and cable television and broadcasting concern, and Citibank, N.A., a commercial bank. Mr. Mumblow served on the Board of the Journal Register Company from December 2004 to May 2008.</p> <p>Mr. Mumblow brings to the Board experience in advertising and marketing trends based upon his ownership of Manhan Media and Deerfield Media. He also has extensive banking expertise, including with respect to the financing of a wide range of media enterprises and merger and acquisition activity within the media industry. He has also gained valuable expertise both operating and serving on the boards of businesses in the television, radio and newspaper industries, experience which provides him with insight into the Company's competitive and strategic landscape. His financial acumen and experience, including qualification as an Audit Committee Financial Expert, provides our Board with valuable skills and a strong background in financial reporting and balance sheet management.</p>	1999
John Maxwell Hamilton Age: 67	<p>John Maxwell Hamilton is the Hopkins P. Breazeale Foundation Professor of Journalism at theanship School of Mass Communications of Louisiana State University. He served as Executive Vice-Chancellor &amp; Provost of Louisiana State University from 2010 until July 2012 and Dean of theanship School of Mass Communications of Louisiana State University from 1994 to 2010 and director of the school from 1992 to 1994. In addition to working in the United States and abroad as a journalist, Mr. Hamilton served on the staff of the World Bank, the United States House of Representatives Subcommittee on Economic Policy and Trade, and the United States Agency for International Development.</p> <p>With over twenty years of professional service as a leader of one of the largest university communications programs in the country, Mr. Hamilton provides knowledge, leadership and a unique perspective on our industry that is vital to our Board of Directors. The communications department that Mr. Hamilton headed has been a leader in thinking about the future of mass media, which is important to us because of our focus on the future of advertising.</p>	2000

## Table of Contents

<u>Name and Age</u>	<u>Business Experience During Past Five Years, Other Directorships and Qualifications</u>	<u>Director Since</u>
Thomas V. Reifenheiser Age: 78	<p>Thomas V. Reifenheiser was a Managing Director and Group Executive for the Global Media and Telecom Group of Chase Securities Inc., an investment banking firm, from 1995 to 2000. He joined Chase in 1963 and was the Global Media and Telecom Group Executive since 1977. He is a member of the Board of Directors of Cablevision Systems Corporation, and he has served as a director of Mediacom Communications Corporation, F+W Publications Inc. and Citadel Broadcasting Corporation.</p> <p>Mr. Reifenheiser possesses expertise in the finance and banking sector with a specialization in the media industry. His extensive experience serving on corporate boards makes him an invaluable resource on matters of corporate governance, executive compensation, effective board oversight and strategic planning. Mr. Reifenheiser's vast experience in the broadcasting and publishing industries provides strategic perspective and insight into our industry. His service on our Board also provides us with additional financial expertise.</p>	2000
John E. Koerner, III Age: 71	<p>John E. Koerner, III has been the managing member of Koerner Capital, LLC, a private investment company, or the President of its predecessor, Koerner Capital Corporation, since 1995. From 1976 to 1995, Mr. Koerner was President and co-owner of Barq's, Inc. and its subsidiary, The Delaware Punch Company. Mr. Koerner is a member of a number of civic boards including The Nature Conservancy of Louisiana and the World War II Museum. He served as Chairman of the New Orleans Regional Chamber of Commerce for 1995, was a past Co-Chairman of Metrovision, and was the 2002—2003 Chairman of the New Orleans Business Council. He serves on a number of business boards including Legg Mason, Inc., IBERIABANK Corporation, Geocent, LLC and Selltis, LLC.</p> <p>Mr. Koerner has extensive experience in corporate finance, the management of capital intensive organizations, and capital markets. Through his service on other boards, Mr. Koerner also has experience with a broad range of corporate governance matters. Mr. Koerner's background and civic board service also provide us with a valuable link to our community, which is important to us because of our focus on local advertising.</p>	2007

### **Family Relationships**

Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., our Chairman of the Board and President, Sean E. Reilly, our Chief Executive Officer, and our directors Anna Reilly and Wendell Reilly are siblings. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., Anna Reilly and Wendell Reilly are also nominees for director at the Annual Meeting.

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES

During the year ended December 31, 2013, our Board of Directors held four meetings. Each of our directors attended at least 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of our Board and the total number of meetings of our Board's committee meetings for the committee(s) on which that director served. The Board has standing Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Governance Committees. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Audit Committee held six meetings, the Compensation Committee held five meetings, and the Nominating and Governance Committee held two meetings. We encourage, but do not require, our Board members to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Last year, all of our directors attended the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Leadership Structure.** Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. currently serves as our Chairman of the Board, and Sean E. Reilly serves as our Chief Executive Officer. The Board does not have a policy regarding the separation of the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, as the Board believes it is in our best interests to make this determination based on an assessment of the current condition of our Company and composition of the Board. The Board has determined that having a member of senior management serve as Chairman of the Board is in the best interests of our stockholders at this time. This structure makes the best use of management's extensive knowledge of the Company and our industry, as well as fostering greater communication between management and the Board.

**Director Independence.** The Board has determined that Messrs. Hamilton, Koerner, Mumblow and Reifenheiser are "independent directors" as defined in the Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards, based on information known to the Company and on the annual questionnaire completed by each director.

**Meetings in Executive Session.** Our independent directors have regularly scheduled meetings at which only independent directors are present. During 2013, the independent directors met in executive session on two occasions.

**Risk Oversight.** As part of its charter, the Board is responsible for monitoring the risks that affect the Company, including operational, legal, regulatory, strategic and reputational risks. As part of routine Board meetings, management presents the Board with updates regarding key facets of the Company's operations. The Board is responsible for assessing risks based on their working knowledge of the Company and the risks inherent in its business. As discussed below, the Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring the Company's financial risk.

**Audit Committee.** The Audit Committee currently consists of Stephen P. Mumblow (Chair), Thomas V. Reifenheiser and John E. Koerner, III. Our Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee satisfies the independence and financial literacy requirements as defined by applicable Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards governing the qualifications of Audit Committee members. Stephen P. Mumblow qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" under the rules of the SEC and satisfies the financial sophistication requirements under applicable Nasdaq Stock Market listing qualifications. The Audit Committee assists our Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibility for general oversight over the integrity of our financial statements, including compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, and the performance of our internal audit function. The Audit Committee is also responsible for the appointment (and when appropriate, replacement) and oversight of our independent registered public accounting firm and our internal auditor. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee has been delegated by the Board the responsibility of monitoring the Company's financial risks. Any material financial risks identified by the Audit Committee are reported to the full Board.



## [Table of Contents](#)

**Compensation Committee.** The Compensation Committee currently consists of Thomas V. Reifenhiser (Chair), John Maxwell Hamilton and Stephen P. Mumbow, all of whom our Board has determined are independent directors under the listing standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market governing the independence of directors. The Committee's responsibilities include evaluating the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers and reviewing and determining such officers' cash and equity-based compensation and benefits. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. For additional information regarding the Compensation Committee's role in setting compensation, delegation of their authority and our use of compensation consultants, please see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this proxy statement, which begins on page 16.

**Nominating and Governance Committee.** The Nominating and Governance Committee currently consists of John E. Koerner, III (Chair), Thomas V. Reifenhiser, John Maxwell Hamilton and Stephen P. Mumbow, all of whom our Board has determined are independent directors under the listing standards of the Nasdaq Stock Market governing the independence of directors. The Committee's responsibilities include identifying individuals qualified to become Board members and recommending to the Board the director nominees for the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders, as well as candidates to fill vacancies on the Board. Additionally, the Committee recommends to the Board the directors to be appointed to Board committees. The Committee also developed and recommended to the Board a set of corporate governance guidelines and oversees the effectiveness of our corporate governance in accordance with those guidelines. The Nominating and Governance Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors.

The process followed by the Nominating and Governance Committee to identify and evaluate director candidates includes requesting Board members and others to submit recommendations, evaluating biographical information and background materials relating to potential candidates, and interviewing (with Board members) selected candidates.

In considering whether to recommend any candidate for inclusion in the Board's slate of director nominees, the Nominating and Governance Committee will evaluate the candidate against the standards and qualifications set out in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, including, among others:

- the extent to which the candidate's skills, experience, and perspective adds to the range of talent appropriate for the Board and whether such attributes are relevant to our industry;
- the candidate's ability to dedicate the time and resources sufficient for the diligent performance of Board duties;
- whether the candidate meets the independence requirements under applicable Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards; and
- the extent to which the candidate holds any position that would conflict with responsibilities to the Company.

The Committee believes that the backgrounds and qualifications of the directors, considered as a group, should provide a significant composite mix of experience, knowledge, and abilities that will allow the Board to fulfill its responsibilities.

The Nominating and Governance Committee and the Board do not have a formal diversity policy. In identifying nominees for director, however, consideration is given to the diversity of professional experience, education and backgrounds among the directors so that a variety of points of view are represented in Board discussions and deliberations concerning our business.

## [Table of Contents](#)

Stockholders may recommend candidates for the Nominating and Governance Committee to consider as potential director nominees by submitting names, biographical information, and background materials to the Nominating and Governance Committee, c/o General Counsel, Lamar Advertising Company, 5321 Corporate Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808. The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider a recommendation only if appropriate biographical information and background material is provided on a timely basis as further described in the Committee's charter. See "Board of Directors and Committees—Committee Charters" below. Assuming that appropriate biographical and background material is provided for candidates recommended by stockholders, the Nominating and Governance Committee will evaluate those candidates by following substantially the same process, and applying substantially the same criteria used for candidates submitted by Board members. The Committee will also consider whether to nominate any person nominated by a stockholder in accordance with the provisions of the Company's bylaws relating to stockholder nominations as described in "Deadline for Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations" below. To date, no stockholder has recommended a candidate for director nominee to the Nominating and Governance Committee or to the Board of Directors.

**Committee Charters.** You may view copies of the charters of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating and Governance Committee, as currently in effect, on the corporate governance section of our website, [www.lamar.com](http://www.lamar.com).

## CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

### Related Person Transactions

In June 2011, the Company entered into a service contract with Joule Energy LA, LLC ("Joule"), of which Ross L. Reilly is a member and owns a 26.66% interest. Joule provided services related to the Company's installation of solar arrays in the State of Louisiana, which services were completed under the contract in 2012. In addition, from time to time beginning in 2012, Joule provides lighting installation services for certain of the Company's billboards in the State of Louisiana. The total service fees paid to Joule for the year ended December 31, 2013, was approximately \$1.5 million. Ross L. Reilly is the son of Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., our Chairman of the Board and President and nominee for director, and the nephew of Sean Reilly, our Chief Executive Officer, and our directors and director nominees Wendell and Anna Reilly.

### Policy on Related Person Transactions

Related persons include any of our directors or executive officers, certain of our stockholders and their immediate family members. A conflict of interest may occur when an individual's private interest interferes, or appears to interfere, in any way with the interests of the Company. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics requires all directors, officers and employees to disclose to management any situations that may be, or appear to be, a conflict of interest. Once management receives notice of a conflict of interest, they will review and investigate the relevant facts and will then generally consult with our General Counsel and the Audit Committee as appropriate.

Under the Audit Committee's charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and pre-approving any related party transactions. Copies of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and of our Audit Committee charter are available on our website at [www.lamar.com](http://www.lamar.com).

---

[Table of Contents](#)

In addition to the reporting requirements under the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, each year our directors and executive officers complete questionnaires identifying any transactions with us in which the executive officers or directors or any immediate family members have an interest. Any such transactions or other related party transactions are reviewed and brought to the attention of the Audit Committee as appropriate.

**Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

The Compensation Committee currently consists of Thomas V. Reifenheiser (Chair), John Maxwell Hamilton, and Stephen P. Mumblow. None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

## EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Our Compensation Committee has responsibility for establishing, implementing and maintaining the compensation program for our executive officers. For the year ended December 31, 2013, our executive officers consisted of our Chairman of the Board and President, Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, which are also referred to herein as the “named executive officers.” This Compensation Discussion and Analysis sets forth the objectives and material elements of the compensation paid to our named executive officers for fiscal 2013.

### *Executive Compensation Philosophy*

The primary objective of our executive compensation program is to retain and reward executive officers who contribute to our long-term success. We believe this requires a competitive compensation structure both as compared to similarly situated companies in the media industry and other companies that are our peers in terms of annual revenues. Additionally, we seek to align a significant portion of executive officer compensation to the achievement of specified Company performance goals. Incentive cash bonuses are included to drive executive performance by having pay at risk so that a significant portion of potential cash compensation is tied to goal achievement. We also include performance-based equity grants as a significant component of prospective executive compensation so that the value of a portion of executive compensation is tied directly to the performance of our Class A Common Stock. In addition, the Compensation Committee instituted a discretionary bonus program in 2008. This program was adopted as an acknowledgement that compensation might be warranted for reasons outside the scope of the performance metrics used in the Company’s incentive programs.

### *Use of Compensation Consultants and Peer Group Data*

Our Compensation Committee did not consult with any compensation consultants in conjunction with its executive officer compensation determinations for fiscal 2013. The Committee originally developed the basic framework for its executive compensation program in conjunction with a compensation consultant and has continued to use this framework for its executive compensation determinations for fiscal 2013. The Committee did not set executive officer compensation to a specific percentile of the range of total compensation represented by a specified peer group when making its executive compensation determinations for fiscal 2013.

### *Material Elements of Executive Officer Compensation*

The key elements of compensation for our executive officers are: base salaries, performance-based cash incentive awards, performance-based equity awards and discretionary cash bonus awards. Executives may also participate, on the same terms as all other employees, in a 401(k) retirement savings plan and health and welfare benefits.

*Base Salary.* We pay a base salary to each of our named executive officers. The objective of base salary is to provide a fixed component of cash compensation to the executive that reflects the level of responsibility associated with the executive’s position and is competitive with the base compensation the executive could earn in a similar position at comparable companies. Base salary for our named executive officers is reviewed annually in light of market compensation, tenure, individual performance, Company performance and other subjective considerations. Typically, our Chairman of the Board and President makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee with regard to base salary for the executive officers that he believes are justified in light of these considerations.

## [Table of Contents](#)

In March 2013, the Compensation Committee reviewed current base salaries in conjunction with our Chairman of the Board and President in the context of the Company's current performance and the overall economic environment. The Committee considered the Company's performance in 2012 and budget for 2013. In light of all factors, the Committee determined to increase the base salary for Mr. Keith Istre, the Company's Chief Financial Officer, to \$500,000 effective April 1, 2013, and that no increases to base salaries were warranted for the Chairman of the Board and President and Chief Executive Officer. Accordingly, the Committee approved the base salaries for Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. and Mr. Sean E. Reilly at their current levels, which have remained unchanged since 2006 and 2012, respectively.

*Performance-Based Incentive Compensation.* The Company's incentive compensation program consists of two types of awards that are granted under the Company's 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended: (i) a performance-based incentive cash bonus and (ii) a performance-based incentive equity award. This compensation program was designed by the Committee to link a significant portion of overall executive officer compensation to the achievement of enumerated performance targets while maximizing the Company's ability to deduct named executive officer compensation for tax purposes under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"). By including a fixed share equity award as a significant portion of executive compensation, the aggregate value of each executive officer's compensation is dependent on the performance of the Company's Class A Common Stock.

*Incentive Cash Bonus.* The Committee sets target amounts for incentive cash bonuses for each of the named executive officers with corresponding performance goals. The Committee reviews those target amounts annually based on the executive's roles and responsibilities, the Company's performance, and the current economic environment. In light of changes to their roles and responsibilities, the Committee determined to reduce the target incentive cash bonus of the Chairman of the Board and President from \$400,000 in 2012 to \$250,000 in 2013 and increase the target incentive cash bonus of the Chief Executive Officer from \$250,000 in 2012 to \$400,000 in 2013. In line with its determination with respect to base salary of the Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Istre's target cash amount for 2013 was increased from \$250,000 to \$300,000. The Committee then approved the performance goals for 2013 pursuant to which any payout of incentive cash bonus awards would be based. The Committee also continued its practice of providing the possibility of higher payouts that provide incentives for superior performance above the 100% targeted levels of achievement, which can result in an incentive cash bonus in an amount that is up to 200% of the target amount.

When setting the performance goals for the executive officers' incentive cash bonuses for fiscal 2013, the Committee met with management to review current operating budgets and financial projections along with any current initiatives that could impact the Company's anticipated 2013 results. The Committee determined that the Company's pro forma net revenue growth and pro forma earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and adjusted for gain or loss on disposition of assets and investments (referred to in this proxy statement as "EBITDA") growth are the appropriate measures on which to base incentive compensation as these measures are the primary measures used by both management and the investor community to evaluate the Company's performance. In light of the Company's consideration of an election to real estate investment trust ("REIT") status for tax purposes and related expenses, which were difficult to predict, operating budgets were prepared without consideration of potential REIT expenses and pro forma EBITDA was calculated exclusive of REIT-related costs.

The Committee's goal when determining the specific performance thresholds is to set target (100%) goal achievement at a challenging but achievable level based on the 2013 operating budget in order to provide appropriate incentives for management in the context of the current fiscal year's projected results and current business plan. To align the Company's performance and the level of award achievement, the Committee maintained the increased threshold established in 2011 for minimum achievement of both cash incentive and equity incentive awards, which is 65% of the target amount. The 2013 performance goals for incentive cash bonuses were based on achievement of pro forma revenue growth and pro forma

## [Table of Contents](#)

EBITDA growth for fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012 with 50% of the total bonus amount tied to each metric. Tables setting forth the actual performance thresholds for fiscal 2013 are set forth below on pages 20 and 21.

In February 2014, the Committee reviewed the Company's 2013 performance. The Company continued to manage its balance sheet in 2013 and redeemed \$350,000,000 in aggregate principal amount of its 9 3/4% Senior Notes due 2014 despite revenue that was below budgeted amounts. The Company also completed an internal corporate restructuring at the end of 2013 related to its consideration of an election to be taxed as a REIT under the Code.

Following this review, the Committee certified that (i) the Company's pro forma net revenue growth did not meet the 65% of the budget and, therefore, did not result in a cash incentive bonus for fiscal 2013 based on revenue, and (ii) the Company's pro forma EBITDA growth resulted in attainment of 70% of each executive officer's target cash incentive bonus for fiscal 2013 based on EBITDA. The total 2013 cash incentive bonus for each executive is set forth below and is reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the 2013 Summary Compensation Table on page 25 of this proxy statement.

### Incentive Cash Bonus

	<u>Portion (50%) Based on Pro Forma Net Revenue Growth (\$)</u>	<u>2013 Awards</u>	
		<u>Portion (50%) Based on Pro Forma EBITDA Growth (\$)</u>	<u>Total (\$)</u>
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. <i>Chairman of the Board and President</i>	0	87,500	87,500
Sean E. Reilly <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	0	140,000	140,000
Keith A. Istre <i>Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer</i>	0	105,000	105,000

*Incentive Equity Awards.* The Committee also determined the target amount of incentive equity awards for each of the named executive officers at its March 2013 meeting. These target equity award amounts were set at 44,000 shares for both Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. and Sean E. Reilly, which are the same fixed share amounts used since 2006. The Committee increased the target amount of incentive equity awards for Keith A. Istre from 26,000 shares to 34,000 shares. The Committee reaffirmed its belief that fixed shares amounts provided appropriate incentives and alignment with stockholders interests.

Under the terms of the Company's incentive equity award program, no shares of stock are issued unless and until the relevant performance goals have been met and certified by the Compensation Committee. Any earned shares are issued as soon as practicable following such certification and are fully vested at the time of issuance. The Committee feels that the use of stock awards as a part of its compensation program aligns executive compensation to the creation of stockholder value but not to such an extent that it would create incentives for executives to focus solely on short-term stock appreciation to the exclusion of long-term strategy.

The pro forma revenue growth and pro forma EBITDA growth metrics for fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012 used in the context of the incentive cash awards were used to determine the achievement of incentive equity awards, except that the amounts were calculated after giving effect to the payment of executive officer cash incentive bonus awards and excluded REIT-related expenses in 2013. In addition, unlike incentive cash awards, there is no opportunity to achieve greater than 100% of the target equity awards. On that basis, (i) the Company's pro forma net revenue growth did not result in an incentive

[Table of Contents](#)

equity award for 2013 based on revenue and (ii) the Company's pro forma EBITDA growth resulted in attainment of 70% of each executive officer's target incentive equity award for 2013 based on EBITDA. The total 2013 incentive equity awards earned by each executive is set forth below and reflected in the Stock Awards column of the 2013 Summary Compensation Table on page 25 of this proxy statement (see footnote 1 to the 2013 Summary Compensation Table, which describes the assumptions underlying the calculation of the aggregate grant date fair value of these awards).

#### Incentive Equity Awards

	<u>Portion (50%) Based on Pro Forma Net Revenue Growth (#)</u>	<u>2013 Awards Portion (50%) Based on Pro Forma EBITDA Growth (#)</u>	<u>Total Shares Class A Common Stock (#)</u>
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. <i>Chairman of the Board and President</i>	0	15,400	15,400
Sean E. Reilly <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	0	15,400	15,400
Keith A. Istre <i>Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer</i>	0	11,900	11,900

The tables that follow set forth the level of pro forma net revenue and pro forma EBITDA growth required for fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012 to achieve the stated percentage of target incentive awards for our named executive officers, as set by the Committee in March 2013. These goals relate to achievement of both incentive cash and incentive equity awards, except that equity awards cannot exceed their target amount irrespective of goal achievement in excess of the 100% level.

**2013 POTENTIAL INCENTIVE AWARDS**  
**Pro Forma Net Revenue Growth<sup>(1)</sup> – 50%**

<b>Incentive Cash Bonus</b>		<b>Incentive Equity Award</b>	
<b>Pro Forma Net Revenue Growth</b>	<b>Percentage of Target Bonus Earned</b>	<b>Pro Forma Net Revenue Growth</b>	<b>Percentage of Target Bonus Earned</b>
Less than 2.1%	0%*	Less than 2.1%	0%*
At least 2.1% but less than 2.2%	65%	At least 2.1% but less than 2.2%	65%
At least 2.2% but less than 2.4%	70%	At least 2.2% but less than 2.4%	70%
At least 2.4% but less than 2.6%	75%	At least 2.4% but less than 2.6%	75%
At least 2.6% but less than 2.7%	80%	At least 2.6% but less than 2.7%	80%
At least 2.7% but less than 2.9%	85%	At least 2.7% but less than 2.9%	85%
At least 2.9% but less than 3.0%	90%	At least 2.9% but less than 3.0%	90%
At least 3.0% but less than 3.2%	95%	At least 3.0% but less than 3.2%	95%
At least 3.2% but less than 4.0%	100%	At least 3.2% or greater	100%
At least 4.0% but less than 4.5%	125%		
At least 4.5% but less than 5.0%	150%		
At least 5.0% but less than 5.5%	175%		
At least 5.5% or greater	200%		

\* Denotes goal achieved for 2013 as certified by the Compensation Committee.

(1) Pro forma net revenue growth is based on the Company's net revenue growth in 2013 over 2012 based on actual 2013 net revenue versus 2012 net revenue, as adjusted to reflect acquisitions and divestitures for the same time frame as actually owned in 2013.



**2013 POTENTIAL INCENTIVE AWARDS**  
**Pro Forma EBITDA Growth<sup>(1)</sup> – 50%**

<b>Incentive Cash Bonus</b>		<b>Incentive Equity Award</b>	
<b>Pro Forma EBITDA Growth</b>	<b>Percentage of Target Bonus Earned</b>	<b>Pro Forma EBITDA Growth</b>	<b>Percentage of Target Bonus Earned</b>
Less than 2.2%	0%	Less than 2.2%	0%
At least 2.2% but less than 2.4%	65%	At least 2.2% but less than 2.4%	65%
At least 2.4% but less than 2.6%	70%*	At least 2.4% but less than 2.6%	70%*
At least 2.6% but less than 2.7%	75%	At least 2.6% but less than 2.7%	75%
At least 2.7% but less than 2.9%	80%	At least 2.7% but less than 2.9%	80%
At least 2.9% but less than 3.1%	85%	At least 2.9% but less than 3.1%	85%
At least 3.1% but less than 3.2%	90%	At least 3.1% but less than 3.2%	90%
At least 3.2% but less than 3.4%	95%	At least 3.2% but less than 3.4%	95%
At least 3.4% but less than 5.0%	100%	At least 3.4% or greater	100%
At least 5.0% but less than 5.5%	125%		
At least 5.5% but less than 6.0%	150%		
At least 6.0% but less than 6.5%	175%		
At least 6.5% or greater	200%		

\* Denotes goal achieved for 2013 as certified by the Compensation Committee.

(1) Pro forma EBITDA growth is calculated in the same manner as pro forma net revenue growth with adjustments being made in the 2012 period to reflect acquisitions and divestitures for the same time frame as actually owned in 2013 and is also adjusted, solely with respect to calculation of incentive cash bonuses, to eliminate the expense in the period related to executive bonuses and excludes 2013 REIT-related expenses.

## [Table of Contents](#)

*2013 Option Grants.* In January 2013, the Committee approved option awards to certain officers of the Company and the Company's non-employee directors. The Committee made the awards, upon the recommendation of management, to provide additional incentive compensation to employees and to align their interests with those of stockholders over the long-term. Each of our named executive officers received an option to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock as part of these awards. Each of these option awards has a ten-year term and vested as to 20% of the shares underlying such award immediately and thereafter an additional 20% of the shares underlying such award vest on each yearly anniversary of the January 24, 2013 grant date. Each of the option awards has an exercise price of \$42.21 per share, the closing price of the Company's Class A Common Stock on the grant date.

*Discretionary Bonus Awards.* In 2013, the Committee continued the discretionary bonus program initiated in 2008. This program was adopted to provide for awards of discretionary cash compensation to reward, if applicable, individual performance or successful initiatives during the course of the fiscal year that may not otherwise be captured by the Company's incentive award program. Payment under the discretionary bonus program is not contingent upon the failure to attain the performance goals under the incentive award program. Pursuant to this program, the Committee may grant a cash bonus to any executive officer in an amount up to 50% of such executive officer's 2013 base salary, in its sole discretion. Any such award is based upon the Committee's evaluation of each executive officer's respective 2013 performance.

In February 2014, the Committee reviewed the Company's performance against budget and determined that no discretionary bonuses would be awarded to executives in respect of 2013 performance.

### ***Consideration of Prior Stockholder Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation***

At the Company's 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, over 98% of shares present at the meeting for purposes of the proposal were voted to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement for that meeting, thus ratifying our compensation philosophy and approach. Our Board of Directors, and the Committee in particular, considered this overwhelming support, as well as our past operating performance, in making the determination that the fundamental characteristics of our executive compensation program approved in 2011 should remain intact for 2013. However, as our Board of Directors determined that an advisory vote will be conducted on a triennial basis and because the Committee wishes continue to monitor stockholder feedback as it reviews and establishes future executive compensation plans and determines awards for our named executive officers, an advisory stockholder vote on our fiscal 2013 compensation of named executive officers will be held at this year's Annual Meeting. See "Proposal No. 2: Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation" for more information.

### **Other Compensation Components**

*Perquisites.* We provide certain perquisites to our executive officers, including use of the Company's aircraft and a Company car. Our executive officers are entitled to use our Company aircraft, including for personal travel. These perquisites provide flexibility to the executives and increase travel efficiencies, allowing more productive use of executive time. More detail on these perquisites and other perquisites provided to our executive officers may be found below in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table.

*Deferred Compensation.* The Company has a deferred compensation plan for certain officers. Under this plan, officers who meet certain years of service and other criteria are eligible to receive Company contributions into their accounts in the Lamar Deferred Compensation Plan. Officers do not have the option of deferring any portion of their earned cash compensation through additional voluntary contributions to the plan.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The deferred compensation plan is not funded by us, and participants have an unsecured contractual commitment from us to pay the amounts due under the deferred compensation plan. When payments under the plan are due, the funds are distributed from our general assets. The Company does not offer preferential earnings on deferred compensation. Deferred compensation is intended as a long-term savings vehicle for our officers in light of the fact that the Company does not offer any traditional pension or defined benefit plan. The Compensation Committee does not consider deferred compensation accounts when setting executive pay levels, since this represents compensation that has previously been earned and individual accounts are a function of personal investment choices and market-based earnings.

### ***Tax Implications***

U.S. federal income tax law (Section 162(m) of the Code) prohibits publicly-traded companies from taking a tax deduction for certain compensation paid in excess of \$1,000,000 to the company's Chief Executive Officer and three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the Chief Financial Officer). However, the statute exempts qualifying performance-based compensation from the deduction limit provided certain requirements are met. The Company's policy is to design its incentive compensation programs to qualify for full corporate deductibility to the extent feasible and consistent with the Company's overall compensation goals and objectives. However, the Committee may exercise its discretion to pay nondeductible compensation if following the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code would not be in the interests of stockholders.

Stock options granted under an equity compensation plan are performance-based compensation if (a) stockholders approve a maximum aggregate per person limit on the number of shares that may be granted each year, (b) any stock options are granted by a committee consisting solely of outside directors, and (c) the stock options have an exercise price that is not less than the fair value of common stock on the date of grant. In the case of performance-based incentive cash awards, restricted stock, restricted stock units and unrestricted stock issuable upon achievement of performance goals, Section 162(m) requires that the general business criteria of any performance goals that are established by our Compensation Committee be approved and periodically reapproved by stockholders (generally, every five years) in order for such awards to be considered performance-based and deductible by the employer. Generally, the performance goals must be established before the beginning of the relevant performance period. Furthermore, satisfaction of any performance goals during the relevant performance period must be certified by the Compensation Committee. Our stockholders-approved 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, meets the conditions necessary for deductibility of certain performance-based awards issued under the plan, and our Compensation Committee designed the 2013 incentive compensation program with the intention of satisfying Section 162(m) with respect to stock options, incentive stock awards and incentive cash awards granted to covered employees.

### ***Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control***

We do not have employment agreements or other agreements with any of our executive officers that entitle them to payments upon termination or in the event of a change-in-control.

**Compensation Policies and Practices as they Relate to Risk Management**

Our management has reviewed its compensation policies and practices in conjunction with our Compensation Committee to determine if these policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company's basic compensation structure, as described above, includes base salaries, incentive cash bonuses and, for officers of the Company (including certain non-executive officers), incentive equity compensation that primarily consists of annual performance-based equity awards. In light of this review of the compensation structure and its mix of both fixed and variable compensation, the Company concluded that there are no risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

**Compensation Committee Report**

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement, for the year ended December 31, 2013, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

By the Compensation Committee,

Thomas V. Reifenheiser (*Chair*)  
John Maxwell Hamilton  
Stephen P. Mumblow

**2013 Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth certain compensation information for our named executive officers. The table reflects each officer's position as of December 31, 2013.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Option Awards (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(2)	All Other Compensation (\$)(3)(4)	Total (\$)
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. <i>Chairman of the Board and President</i>	2013	700,000	—	2,299,000(5)	2,071,560	87,500	229,422	5,387,482
	2012	700,000	—	1,445,840(6)	—	380,000	256,387	2,782,227
	2011	700,000	130,000	1,408,000(7)	—	130,000	148,268	2,516,768
Sean E. Reilly <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	2013	700,000	—	2,299,000(5)	2,071,560	140,000	286,407	5,496,967
	2012	650,000	100,000	1,445,840(6)	—	237,500	239,951	2,673,291
	2011	500,000	81,250	1,408,000(7)	—	81,250	139,043	2,209,543
Keith A. Istre <i>Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer</i>	2013	487,500	—	1,776,500(5)	2,071,560	105,000	52,500	4,493,060
	2012	450,000	100,000	854,360(6)	—	237,500	52,500	1,694,360
	2011	450,000	81,250	832,000(7)	—	81,250	52,500	1,497,000

- Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value recognized for financial statement reporting purposes in accordance with ASC Topic 718. With respect to stock awards, the grant date fair value is calculated assuming the probable outcome of achievement, which on the grant date was expected to be 100% of the target equity incentive award amount, rather than the value of the actual award earned on the date when issued to the officer. For the assumptions underlying the valuation of these awards see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2014.
- Amounts shown in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column reflect the incentive cash awards granted at the beginning of each year, earned based on performance during that fiscal year and paid in the following fiscal year. The 2013 awards are described in further detail under the headings "Performance-Based Incentive Compensation" and "Incentive Cash Bonus" in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and are also reflected in the table "Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2013" under the column "Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards."
- Includes \$146,921, \$121,347 and \$61,773 for Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. and \$222,452, \$130,125 and \$74,415 for Mr. Sean E. Reilly for the personal use of Company aircraft in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as further described below. The amounts included in the "All Other Compensation" column also include the following perquisites provided to our named executive officers (except as otherwise indicated), which are valued at the Company's incremental cost, none of which individually exceeded \$25,000: (a) personal use of a Company car, (b) Company-paid health insurance premiums and medical reimbursements, and (c) Company-paid premiums for term life insurance for Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. Executives also have access to a country club at which the Company has a membership, but each executive pays all fees related to such personal use, resulting in no additional incremental cost to the Company.  
The Company's incremental cost for personal use of the corporate aircraft is based on the incremental cost to the Company calculated based on the variable costs, related to the number of flight hours used, including fuel costs, landing/ramp fees, trip-related maintenance, crew travel expenses, supplies and catering, aircraft accrual expenses per hour of flight, any customs and foreign permit or similar fees. Our fixed costs that do not change based on usage, such as pilot salaries and the cost of maintenance not related to trips are excluded. The incremental cost to the Company for personal use of a Company car is calculated as a portion of the annual lease, mileage and fuel attributable to the personal use.
- Also includes employer contributions under the Company's deferred compensation plan of \$50,000 for each of Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., Mr. Sean E. Reilly and Mr. Istre for 2013; and \$57,500 for Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. and \$50,000 for each of Mr. Sean E. Reilly and Mr. Istre in each of 2012 and 2011.
- The ASC Topic 718 value of the shares actually earned based on achievement of performance goals for fiscal 2013, which awards were certified as earned by the Compensation Committee and issued on February 24, 2014, was \$804,650 for each of Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. and Mr. Sean E. Reilly and \$621,775 for Mr. Istre.

[Table of Contents](#)

- (6) The ASC Topic 718 value of the shares actually earned based on achievement of performance goals for fiscal 2012, which awards were certified as earned by the Compensation Committee and issued on February 25, 2013, was \$1,373,548 for each of Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. and Mr. Sean E. Reilly and \$811,642 for Mr. Istre.
- (7) Award was certified as earned by the Compensation Committee and issued on February 20, 2012.

**Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal Year 2013**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) (3)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.	3/20/2013	162,500	250,000	500,000	28,600	44,000	44,000	2,299,000
Sean E. Reilly	3/20/2013	260,000	400,000	800,000	28,600	44,000	44,000	2,299,000
Keith A. Istre	3/20/2013	195,000	300,000	600,000	22,100	34,000	34,000	1,776,500

- (1) Represents the possible cash bonus granted under our 1996 Equity Incentive Plan that could be earned by achieving defined performance goals. Threshold amount assumes minimum attainment of both EBITDA and revenue levels to receive payment.
- (2) These awards constitute possible shares of our Class A Common Stock issuable upon achievement of defined performance goals under our 1996 Equity Incentive Plan. Threshold amount assumes minimum attainment of both EBITDA and revenue levels to receive payment.
- (3) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value in accordance with ASC Topic 718 assuming the probable outcome of achievement, which on the grant date was expected to be 100% of the target equity incentive award amount, rather than the value of the actual award earned on the date when issued to the officer. For the assumptions underlying the valuation of these awards see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10 K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2014.

**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2013**

Name	Option Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.	20,000(1)	80,000(1)	42.21	1/24/2023
	82,222(2)	0	15.67	7/2/2019
	100,000(3)	0	18.25	5/28/2019
Sean E. Reilly	20,000(1)	80,000(1)	42.21	1/24/2023
	16,444(2)	0	15.67	7/2/2019
	100,000(3)	0	18.25	5/28/2019
Keith A. Istre	20,000(1)	80,000(1)	42.21	1/24/2023
	4,665(2)	0	15.67	7/2/2019
	57,731(3)	0	18.25	5/28/2019

- (1) Granted on January 24, 2013. 20% of the award vested immediately upon grant, and an additional 20% vests on the next four anniversaries of the grant date.
- (2) Granted on July 2, 2009. 20% of the award vested immediately upon grant, and an additional 20% vests on the next four anniversaries of the grant date.
- (3) Granted on May 28, 2009. 20% of the award vested immediately upon grant, and an additional 20% vests on the next four anniversaries of the grant date.

**Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal Year 2013**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Option Awards</u>		<u>Stock Awards</u>	
	<u>Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)</u>	<u>Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)</u>	<u>Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)</u>	<u>Value Realized on Vesting (\$)</u>
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.	—	—	—	—
Sean E. Reilly	—	—	—	—
Keith A. Istre	50,000	1,486,000	—	—

- (1) Calculated as the product of (a) the number of shares of Class A Common Stock for which the stock options were exercised and (b) the excess of the closing price of our Class A Common Stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the date of the exercise over the applicable exercise price per share of the stock options.

**Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Registrant Contributions in Last FY \$(1)</u>	<u>Aggregate Earnings in Last FY \$(2)</u>	<u>Aggregate Balance at Last FYE \$(3)</u>
Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.	50,000	791,967	4,478,019
Sean E. Reilly	50,000	169,304	907,510
Keith A. Istre	50,000	30,120	687,560

- (1) Amounts in this column are included in the “All Other Compensation” column in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Amounts in this column are not included in the 2013 Summary Compensation Table because they were not preferential or above market.
- (3) This column includes amounts in each named executive officer’s total deferred compensation account as of the last day of fiscal 2013, which includes (i) the following total contributions reported in each of the Company’s previous proxies: Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., \$811,500; Mr. Sean E. Reilly, \$515,000; and Mr. Keith A. Istre, \$461,500; and (ii) aggregate earnings on all previously contributed amounts. This column does not include contributions for each officer for the 2013 FY, which were made in January 2014 and reported in the first column.

The Company sponsors a deferred compensation plan for the benefit of certain of its board-elected officers who meet specific age, years of service and other criteria. Officers that have attained the age of 30, have a minimum of 10 years of service and satisfy additional eligibility guidelines are eligible for annual Company contributions to the plan, depending on the employee’s length of service. The Company’s contributions to the plan are maintained in a rabbi trust. Upon termination, death or disability, participating employees are eligible to receive an amount equal to the fair market value of the assets in the employee’s deferred compensation account either in a lump sum distribution or in twenty percent installments over a five-year period.

### Director Compensation in Fiscal Year 2013

The following table sets forth a summary of the compensation we paid to our non-employee directors during 2013. Mr. Kevin P. Reilly, Jr. receives no additional compensation for Board service.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)</u>	<u>Stock Awards (\$)(1)</u>	<u>Total (\$)</u>
John Maxwell Hamilton(2)	52,500	34,997	87,497
John E. Koerner, III(3)	58,500	49,961	108,461
Stephen P. Mumblow(4)	72,000	54,982	126,982
Thomas V. Reifenheiser(5)	67,500	49,961	117,461
Anna Reilly(6)	42,000	29,977	71,977
Wendell Reilly(7)	42,000	29,977	71,977

- (1) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for fiscal year 2013 in accordance with ASC Topic 718 that relates to the value of the shares awarded upon each director's election in 2013. For the assumptions underlying the valuation of these awards see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2014.
- (2) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Hamilton held options to purchase 28,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock.
- (3) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Koerner held options to purchase 20,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock.
- (4) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Mumblow held options to purchase 38,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock.
- (5) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Reifenheiser held options to purchase 23,200 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock.
- (6) As of December 31, 2013, Ms. Reilly held options to purchase 20,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock.
- (7) As of December 31, 2013, Mr. Wendell Reilly held options to purchase 20,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock.

For 2013, we paid our non-employee directors an annual fee of \$42,000, paid monthly. We also reimburse non-employee directors for travel expenses incurred to attend board and committee meetings and expenses incurred to perform other, related responsibilities.

For 2013, we also paid each member of a committee of the Board of Directors a fee of \$1,500 for each meeting attended. The Chairman of the Audit Committee received an additional annual fee of \$12,000 and the Chairman of the Compensation and the Nominating and Governance Committees each received an additional fee of \$6,000. These fees are paid on a quarterly basis. In addition, each of our non-employee directors received an option to purchase 10,000 shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock in January 2013 in connection with a grant to certain officers of the Company. Each of these option awards has a ten year term and vested as to 20% of the shares underlying such award immediately and thereafter an additional 20% of the shares underlying such award vest on each yearly anniversary of the January 24, 2013 grant date. Each of the option awards has an exercise price of \$42.21 per share, the closing price of the Company's Class A Common Stock on the grant date.

Each non-employee director automatically receives upon his election or re-election at an annual meeting of stockholders a restricted stock award in shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock with a fair market value as set forth below (rounded down to the nearest whole share), which fair market value is determined based upon the closing price of the Class A Common Stock on the date of such election,



## [Table of Contents](#)

50% of which is fully vested on the grant date and 50% of which vests on the last day of such director's one-year term (the business day prior to the Company's next annual meeting of stockholders) with pro-rated grants upon an election other than at an annual meeting of stockholders whether by action of the Board or the stockholders to fill a vacancy or otherwise.

<b>Non-Employee Director</b>	<b>Fair Market Value of Restricted Stock Grant</b>
Non-Committee Members	\$ 30,000
Committee Members (not Chair)	\$ 35,000
Chair of Compensation Committee	\$ 50,000
Chair of Nominating and Governance Committee	\$ 50,000
Chair of Audit Committee	\$ 55,000

### EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013, with respect to shares of our Class A Common Stock that may be issued under our existing compensation plans.

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights</b>	<b>(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))</b>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders <sup>(1)</sup>	3,360,248 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 32.88 <sup>(3)</sup>	3,125,457 <sup>(4)(5)</sup>
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,360,248</b>	<b>\$ 32.88</b>	<b>3,125,457</b>

- (1) Consists of the 1996 Equity Incentive Plan and 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- (2) Includes shares issuable upon achievement of outstanding performance-based awards under our 1996 Equity Incentive Plan. Does not include purchase rights accruing under the 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan because the purchase price (and therefore the number of shares to be purchased) will not be determined until the end of the purchase period.
- (3) Does not take into account shares issuable upon achievement of outstanding performance-based awards, which will be issued for no consideration.
- (4) Includes shares available for future issuance under the 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Under the evergreen formula of this plan, on the first day of each fiscal year beginning with 2010, the aggregate number of shares that may be purchased through the exercise of rights granted under the plan is increased by the lesser of (a) 500,000 shares, (b) one-tenth of one percent of the total number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding on the last day of the preceding fiscal year, and (c) a lesser amount determined by the board of directors. On January 1, 2014, 80,209 shares of Class A Common Stock were added to the 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan pursuant to the evergreen formula.
- (5) In addition to stock option awards, the 1996 Equity Incentive Plan, as currently in effect, provides for the issuance of restricted stock, unrestricted stock and stock appreciation rights.

## AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The following is the report of the Audit Committee with respect to the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The purpose of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to oversee the Company's accounting and financial reporting, internal controls, and audit functions. The Audit Committee Charter describes in greater detail the full responsibilities of the committee. The Audit Committee is comprised entirely of independent directors as defined by applicable Nasdaq Stock Market listing standards.

Management is responsible for our internal controls and the financial reporting process. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of our consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards established by the Public Company Accounting and Oversight Board (United States) and issuing a report thereon. The Committee's responsibility is to monitor these processes. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and KPMG LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm.

In the course of its oversight of the Company's financial reporting process, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has:

- reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013;
- discussed with KPMG LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 16;
- reviewed and discussed with management and KPMG LLP the Company's report on internal controls over financial reporting and the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures;
- received the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding KPMG's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence;
- discussed with KPMG LLP its independence; and
- considered whether the provision of non-audit services by KPMG LLP is compatible with maintaining its independence.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, for filing with the SEC.

By the Audit Committee,

Stephen P. Mumblow (*Chair*)  
John E. Koerner, III  
Thomas V. Reifenheiser

## PROPOSAL NO. 2: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Company is seeking the approval of its stockholders of an advisory resolution regarding the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement under the section titled “Executive Officer and Director Compensation.” While this stockholder vote on executive compensation is only an advisory vote that is not binding on the Company or the Board of Directors, the Company values the opinions of its stockholders and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions.

As described more fully in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the primary objective of our executive compensation program is to attract, retain and reward executive officers who contribute to our long-term success. We believe this requires a competitive compensation structure both as compared to similarly situated companies in the media industry and other companies that are our peers in terms of annual revenues. Additionally, we seek to align a significant portion of executive officer compensation to the achievement of specified Company performance goals. Incentive cash bonuses are included to drive executive performance by having pay at risk so that a significant portion of potential cash compensation is tied to goal achievement. We also include performance-based equity grants as a significant component of prospective executive compensation so that the value of a portion of executive compensation is tied directly to the performance of our Class A Common Stock.

We urge stockholders to read the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section above, which describes in more detail how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables and narrative above which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers.

In light of the above, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors believe that the policies and procedures articulated in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” are effective in achieving our goals and that the compensation of our named executive officers reported in this proxy statement has supported and contributed to the Company’s success.

### Principal Effects of Approval or Non-Approval of the Proposal

The approval of the compensation of the named executive officers, commonly known as a “say-on-pay” resolution, is non-binding on the Board of Directors. As stated above, although the vote is non-binding, the Board and the Compensation Committee will review and consider the voting results when making future decisions regarding our executive compensation program.

### Required Vote

The non-binding approval of the compensation of the named executive officers by the stockholders requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote on this proposal at the meeting. Abstentions, broker non-votes, and votes withheld will not be treated as votes cast for this purpose and will not affect the outcome of the election. Proxies solicited by the Board will be voted to approve the compensation of the named executive officers unless a stockholder has indicated otherwise in the proxy.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the non-binding, advisory proposal to approve the executive compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement.**

**PROPOSAL NO. 3: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT  
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The firm of KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our financial statements for each of the years ending December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Our Audit Committee has appointed them to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014. Representatives of KPMG LLP are expected to attend the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions. Representatives of KPMG LLP will also have the opportunity to make a statement, if they desire.

Detailed disclosure of the audit and tax fees we paid to KPMG LLP in 2013 and 2012 is set forth below. Based on these disclosures and information in the Audit Committee Report on page 30 of this proxy statement, our Audit Committee is satisfied that our accountants are sufficiently independent of management to perform their duties properly.

Although not legally required to do so, our Board considers it desirable to seek, and recommends, stockholder ratification of our selection of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014. If the stockholders fail to ratify our selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain that firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if the Audit Committee determines that such a change would be in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders.

#### **Audit Fees and Services**

The fees for services provided by KPMG LLP to the Company in 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	<u>Fiscal 2013</u>	<u>Fiscal 2012</u>
Audit Fees(1)	\$1,360,141	\$1,349,100
Audit-Related Fees(2)	20,000	19,000
Tax Fees(3)	754,690	286,319
All Other Fees	—	—
Total	\$2,134,831	\$1,654,419

- (1) Audit Fees for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were for professional services rendered for the audits of our consolidated financial statements and review of financial statements included in our quarterly and annual financial statements and subsidiary audits. Audit Fees for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 also include costs associated with KPMG LLP's audit of our internal control over financial reporting.
- (2) Audit related fees consist of professional services rendered for the audit of our employee benefit plan.
- (3) Tax Fees as of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, consist of tax compliance fees of \$58,315 and \$39,027 and tax planning fees of \$696,375 and \$247,292. Tax fees as of the year ended December 31, 2013 include fees relating to our potential conversion to a REIT in 2014.

The Audit Committee has adopted policies and procedures that require pre-approval of all audit and permitted non-audit services to be provided by KPMG. All fees in the table above were approved in accordance with the policies and procedures established by the Audit Committee.

**Required Vote**

The ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent public accounting firm will require a majority of the votes cast by the stockholders entitled to vote on this proposal at the meeting. Abstentions, broker non-votes, and votes withheld will not be treated as votes cast for this purpose and will not affect the outcome of the election.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of KPMG.**

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

### Other Matters

The Board of Directors is unaware of any business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders other than the matters described in the Notice to Stockholders. If other business is properly presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting, the enclosed proxy authorizes the persons named therein to vote the shares in their discretion on that matter.

### Communications from Stockholders

The Board will give appropriate attention to written communications submitted by stockholders, and will respond if and as appropriate. Absent unusual circumstances or as contemplated by committee charters, the Chair of the Audit Committee will, with the assistance of our General Counsel, (i) be primarily responsible for monitoring communications from stockholders and (ii) provide copies or summaries of such communications to the other directors as he considers appropriate. Communications specifically addressed to a particular director will be forwarded to that director.

Communications will be forwarded to all directors if they relate to substantive matters and include suggestions or comments that the Chair of the Audit Committee considers to be important for the directors to know. In general, communications relating to corporate governance and long-term corporate strategy are more likely to be forwarded than communications relating to personal grievances and matters as to which we tend to receive repetitive or duplicative communications.

Stockholders who wish to send communications on any topic to the Board should address such communications to the Chair of the Audit Committee, c/o General Counsel, Lamar Advertising Company, 5321 Corporate Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808.

### Deadline for Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations

In order for a stockholder proposal to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we must receive it no later than December 26, 2014 (120 days before the anniversary of the mailing date of this proxy statement), at the following address: 5321 Corporate Boulevard, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808, Attention: Secretary.

In addition, our bylaws require a stockholder who wishes to bring business before an annual meeting or propose director nominations at an annual meeting to give advance written notice to the Secretary as described in the bylaws. To be timely for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proposals must be received by no later than the close of business on March 7, 2015 (assuming that our 2015 Annual Meeting is held not more than 30 days before or after May 21, 2015, the anniversary date of this year's Annual Meeting).

### Expenses of Solicitation

We will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies, including the charges and expenses of brokerage firms and others of forwarding solicitation material to beneficial owners of common stock. In addition to the use of mails, proxies may be solicited by our officers and any regular employees in person or by telephone. We expect that the costs incurred in the solicitation of proxies will be nominal.

April 25, 2014

**PROXY FOR CLASS A COMMON STOCK**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS IS SOLICITING THIS PROXY  
IN CONNECTION WITH THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF  
LAMAR ADVERTISING COMPANY**

**MAY 21, 2014**

Each undersigned stockholder of Lamar Advertising Company (the "Company") hereby appoints Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., Sean E. Reilly and Keith A. Istre, and each of them acting singly, with full power of substitution, as Proxies to vote on behalf of the undersigned all shares of Class A Common Stock of the Company that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held on May 21, 2014, and at all adjournments of the Annual Meeting. The undersigned hereby revokes any proxy previously given with respect to such shares.

**This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed by the undersigned stockholder(s). If no specifications are made, the Proxies named above will vote the shares in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors, which are set forth on the reverse side of this Proxy Card. THE PROXIES ARE AUTHORIZED TO VOTE IN THEIR DISCRETION ON ANY OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY COMING BEFORE THE MEETING.**

**(Continued and to be signed on reverse side)**

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF  
LAMAR ADVERTISING COMPANY

MAY 21, 2014

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 21, 2014** - The proxy statement and annual report to security holders are available at [www.proxydocs.com/lamr](http://www.proxydocs.com/lamr).

Please sign, date and mail your proxy card in the envelope provided as soon as possible.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR ALL NOMINEES” FOR DIRECTORS IN PROPOSAL 1 AND “FOR” PROPOSALS 2 AND 3. PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE:** ☒

**1. Election of directors:**

- FOR ALL NOMINEES
- WITHHOLD AUTHORITY FOR ALL NOMINEES
- FOR ALL EXCEPT  
(See instructions below)

**Nominees to Withhold Vote For:**

- John Maxwell Hamilton
- John E. Koerner, III
- Stephen P. Mumblow
- Thomas V. Reifenheiser
- Anna Reilly
- Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.
- Wendell Reilly

**INSTRUCTIONS:** To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark “**FOR ALL EXCEPT**” and fill in the box next to each nominee you wish to withhold, as shown here: ☒

**2. Approval, on an advisory and non-binding basis, of the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers:**

- FOR
- AGAINST
- ABSTAIN

**3. Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year:**

- FOR
- AGAINST
- ABSTAIN

Address Change: \_\_\_\_\_

To change the address on your account, please check the box at right and indicate your new address in the address space above. Please note that changes to the registered name(s) on the account may not be submitted via this method.

Signature of Stockholder: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Stockholder: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Note:** Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.



**PROXY FOR CLASS B COMMON STOCK AND PREFERRED STOCK**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS IS SOLICITING THIS PROXY  
IN CONNECTION WITH THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF  
LAMAR ADVERTISING COMPANY**

**MAY 21, 2014**

Each undersigned stockholder of Lamar Advertising Company (the "Company") hereby appoints Kevin P. Reilly, Jr., Sean E. Reilly and Keith A. Istre, and each of them acting singly, with full power of substitution, as Proxies to vote on behalf of the undersigned all shares of Class B Common Stock and Series AA Preferred Stock of the Company that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company to be held on May 21, 2014, and at all adjournments of the Annual Meeting. The undersigned hereby revokes any proxy previously given with respect to such shares.

**This proxy, when properly executed, will be voted in the manner directed by the undersigned stockholder(s). If no specifications are made, the Proxies named above will vote the shares in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors, which are set forth on the following page of this Proxy Card. THE PROXIES ARE AUTHORIZED TO VOTE IN THEIR DISCRETION ON ANY OTHER MATTERS PROPERLY COMING BEFORE THE MEETING.**

**(Continued and to be signed on following pages)**

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF  
LAMAR ADVERTISING COMPANY

MAY 21, 2014

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 21, 2014** - The proxy statement and annual report to security holders are available at [www.proxydocs.com/lamr](http://www.proxydocs.com/lamr).

Please sign, date and return this proxy card to the Company as soon as possible.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR ALL NOMINEES” FOR DIRECTORS IN PROPOSAL 1 AND “FOR” PROPOSALS 2 AND 3. PLEASE SIGN, DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY. PLEASE MARK YOUR VOTE IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS SHOWN HERE:** ☒

**1. Election of directors:**

- FOR ALL NOMINEES
- WITHHOLD AUTHORITY FOR ALL NOMINEES
- FOR ALL EXCEPT  
(See instructions below)

**Nominees to Withhold Vote For:**

- John Maxwell Hamilton
- John E. Koerner, III
- Stephen P. Mumblow
- Thomas V. Reifenheiser
- Anna Reilly
- Kevin P. Reilly, Jr.
- Wendell Reilly

**INSTRUCTIONS:** To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark “**FOR ALL EXCEPT**” and fill in the box next to each nominee you wish to withhold, as shown here: ☒

**2. Approval, on an advisory and non-binding basis, of the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers:**

- FOR
- AGAINST
- ABSTAIN

**3. Ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year:**

- FOR
- AGAINST
- ABSTAIN

Signature of Stockholder: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Stockholder: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Note:** Please sign exactly as your name or names appear on this Proxy. When shares are held jointly, each holder should sign. When signing as executor, administrator, attorney, trustee or guardian, please give full title as such. If the signer is a corporation, please sign in full corporate name by duly authorized officer, giving full title as such. If signer is a partnership, please sign in partnership name by authorized person.